

REMARKS

Claims 1-20 remain pending upon entry of this amendment. The Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the application in view of the following remarks submitted in support thereof. The current status of the claims is summarized below.

Claims 1, 9 and 17 have been amended to further clarify the invention.

Claims 1 – 20 are pending after entry of this amendment.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112:

Claims 1, 9 and 17 were rejected under 112, second paragraph. Claims 1, 9 and 17 have been amended to address the discrepancy. Based on the amendment, the Applicants request the withdrawal of the 112 rejection.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a):

Claims 1-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Mehta et al., U.S. Patent Application No. 2002/0131404 (hereinafter Mehta), in view of Kloba et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,341,316 (hereinafter Kloba). Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of these rejections in light of the amendments and arguments contained herein.

Independent claims 1, 9 and 17 have been amended to further clarify the invention. Specifically, the claims have been amended to provide a mechanism for provisioning services wherein the discovery transactions, subscription transactions and delivery transactions may be requested by another client device different from the client device that receives the transaction. Support for the amendment can be found on page 17, lines 18-23 and hence no new matter has been added. This feature enables a person in an

administrative role to use a desk top client device to manage the configuration of a fleet of wireless client devices. The client device has the ability to receive the transactions based on a request made by another client device as the reply received (based on a request by another client device) uses session id to identify the request to which the reply corresponds. The object id of a client device in the response, in this case, may be different from the object id of a client device sent in the request and may be used to indicate that a redirection has occurred on the server for the reply.


Mehta teaches using Mobile Application System (MAS) that provides information related to services available to a particular client device. The client device in Mehta does not provide the opportunity of requesting such transactions on behalf of other client devices. The client device in Mehta is more like a slave device wherein the client device receives information related to all services available to the client device in response to a request made by that client device. This is contrary to the claimed invention where the client device can receive transactions based on a request made by another client device. Thus, Mehta does not suggest or teach each and every element of the claimed invention as amended.

The Office relies on Kloba to provide the synchronization of the client device with the server. Kloba teaches enabling web content to be loaded on mobile devices so that the users of the mobile devices will be able to operate with the web contents in an interactive manner. However, Kloba does not cure the deficiency of Mehta. Kloba does not suggest or teach the feature of allowing the mobile device to load web content received in response to a request made by another mobile (client) device. Combining Kloba with Mehta would still not teach the claimed invention as the combined references do not suggest or teach receiving transactions at a client device based on a request made by another client device.

Based on the arguments presented, the Applicants submit that amended independent claims 1, 9, and 17 are patentable over Mehta in view of Kloba and request the withdrawal of the 103 rejection. Claims 2-8, 10-16 and 18-20 are dependent on the amended independent claims. Based on the above arguments for independent claims 1, 9 and 17, Applicants submit that the dependent claims 2-8, 10-16, and 18-20 are patentable over Mehta and request the withdrawal of the 103 rejections.

Accordingly, a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested. If the Examiner has any questions concerning the present Amendment, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at (408) 774-6905. If any other fees are due in connection with filing this Amendment, the Commissioner is also authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 50-0805 (Order No. SUNMP155). A duplicate copy of the transmittal is enclosed for this purpose.

Respectfully submitted,
MARTINE PENILLA & GENCARELLA, LLP


Jayanthi Minisandram
Reg. No. 53,330

710 Lakeway Drive, Suite 200
Sunnyvale, CA 94085
Telephone: (408) 749-6905
Facsimile: (408) 749-6901
Customer No. 32,291